



KERALA GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	7th December 2010 2010 ഡിസംബർ 7	No. നമ്പർ	48
		16th Agrahayana 1932 1932 അഗ്രഹായനം 16		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1737/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 14th October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Aromal, D., Proprietor, Global Nutfood, Pulikuzhi, Velmanur P. O., Parippally, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Shri Chirakkara N. Prakash, Secretary, Kerala Kashuvandi Thozhilali Congress (INTUC), Congress Bhavan, Kollam-1, (Valiyadathu Veedu, Chirakkara P. O., Parippally, Kollam District) in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Seema, mycaud in Grading Section (Card No. 2) by the management of Global Nutfood, Velmanur P. O., Parippally with effect from 30-10-2009 is justifiable or not? If not what relief the workman is entitled to get?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1739/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 14th October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Siji George, PKC & Company (Petrol Pump), Poothotta, Ernakulam District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Sudheesh, P. S. s/o Sugathan, Vadakkeparakkattu Veedu, Poothotta P. O. - 682 307 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the Termination of Service of Worker, Shri Sudheesh, P. S. s/o Sugathan, Vadakkeparakkattu Veedu, Poothotta P. O. -682 307 amounts to retrenchment ?

2. If yes what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1740/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 14th October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri N. Krishnankutty, Proprietor, T. K. Medicals, Devaswom Building, Puthiyakav, Kulasekharapuram, Karunagappadly and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. K. Rajendran, Chithira, Changankulangara, Oachira P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the management of T. K. Medicals, Karunagappally has denied employment to Shri P. K. Rajendran with effect from 7-12-2005 ? If so what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1741/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 14th October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Director and CEO, Prakash Road Lines Limited, New Extension, Bangalore,

2. Shri P. K. Saseendran, Agent Prakash Road Lines Limited, 8/130, Perfect Road Carrier, Convent Road, Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. Bhaskaran s/o Kelu Nair, Elavana Paramb, Thondilakkadavu, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. Bhaskaran, Worker by the management of Prakash Road Lines Limited, Bangalore is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1742/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 14th October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Partner, M/s. BP Associates, Desamangalam P. O., Thrissur-679 532 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Thrissur District Motor & Engineering Mazdoor Sangh (BMS), Patturaikkal, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to employees, 1. Ramesan, 2. Sivadas, 3. Babu, 4. Moosa, 5. Anilkumar, 6. Venugopal, 7. Sathesh, 8. Mani by the management of M/s. BP Associates, Desamangalam is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief they are entitled to get ?

(6)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1772/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Paramakkavu Devaswom, Geethanjali, Paramakkavu Devaswom Building, Round East, Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri K. Hareeshkumar, Kunnampathu House, Kizhakkumpattukara, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Hareeshkumar, Assistant Kariakkar, Paramakkavu Devaswom by the management of Paramakkavu Devaswom, Geethanjali, Paramakkavu Devaswom Building, Round East, Thrissur is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(7)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1773/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Dairy Manager Malabar Regional Co-operative Milk Marketing Producers Union, Palakkad Dairy, Kalleppully, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Palakkad District National Milk Supply Employees Association (INTUC), Palakkad Milma Dairy, Kalleppully Post, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the reversion of the Grade of Shri. S. Yakoob, Technician Grade 1 to Technician Grade 2 as part of disciplinary action by the management of Milma, Palakkad is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(8)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1774/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the president Chelora Ksheera Vyavasaya Sahakarana Sangham Limited No. C-26(D), Thilanoor, Thazhe Chovva P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri A. K. Prajeevan, Aromal Nivas, Kappad P. O., Kannur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Employment to Shri A. K. Prajeevan, Supervisor w.e.f. 5-3-2009 by the management of Chelora Ksheera Vyavasaya Sahakarana Sangham is justifiable or not ? If not what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(9)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1775/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager M/s, Precot Meridian 'A' Unit, Kanjikode West, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, District Textile Mill Workers Union (CITU), Precot Meridian 'A' Unit, Kanjikode West Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the discharge from service of Shri V. Radhakrishnan, Worker, Precot Meridian 'A' Unit w.e.f. 8-8-2009 by the management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(10)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1776/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Smt. M. P. Komalavally Amma, Partner, Union Enterprises, Arun Tourist Home, Kalpetta, 2. Shri U. Kuttappan Nair, Partner, Union Enterprises, Arun Tourist Home, Kalpetta and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the President, The Wayanad Hotels & Shops Workers Congress (INTUC), Kalpetta, respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri V. T. Vijayan, U. Sureshkumar, P. Ravunni and A. R. Rajesh by the management of Arun Tourist Home, Kalpetta is justifiable ?
2. If not, what are the relief the above workers entitled for ?

(11)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1787/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th October 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri M. Natarajan, Proprietor, Beena Cashew Company, Ayathil P. O., Kollam-17 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. R. Sugandha, Chinnu Bhavan, Ayathil P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. R. Sugandha, Worker in grading section by the management, Beena Cashew Company, Ayathil P. O., Kollam with effect from 20-9-2009 is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,

Under Secretary to Government.